## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-6 and 11-15 are pending in the application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 5, 11, 12 and 15 have been amended. No new matter has been added. It is respectfully submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated April 9, 2008.

## As to the Merits:

As to the merits of this case, the Examiner sets forth the following rejections:

claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 14 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang, USP 6,292,825, in view of Wakasugi, USP 6,823,367;

claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Wakasugi, and Boyle, USP 6,119,167;

claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Chang; and

claims 12 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang in view of Beyda, USP 6,275850.

Each of these rejections is respectfully traversed.